arXiv:1202.1797v1 [math.OA] 8 Feb 2012

ESSENTIAL NORMALITY AND THE DECOMPOSABILITY OF HOMOGENEOUS SUBMODULES

MATTHEW KENNEDY

Abstract. We establish the essential normality of a large new class of homogeneous submodules of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module. The main idea is a notion of essential decomposability that determines when an arbitrary submodule can be decomposed into the sum of essentially normal submodules. We prove that every essentially decomposable submodule is essentially normal, and using ideas from convex geometry, we introduce methods for establishing that a submodule is essentially decomposable. It turns out that many homogeneous submodules of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module have this property. We prove that many of the submodules considered by other authors are essentially decomposable, and in addition establish the essential decomposability of a large new class of homogeneous submodules. Our results support Arveson's conjecture that every homogeneous submodule of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module is essentially normal.

1. Introduction

In this paper we establish new results in higher-dimensional operator theory that support Arveson's conjecture of a corresponence between algebraic varieties and C*-algebras of essentially normal operators. Specifically, we prove the essential normality of a large new class of homogeneous submodules of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module introduced by Arveson in [Arv98]. Our work provides a new perspective on Arveson's conjecture that every homogeneous submodule is essentially normal.

For fixed $d \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{P}_d$ denote the algebra of complex polynomials in d variables $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$. With the introduction of an appropriate inner product, $\mathcal{P}_d$ can be completed to a space of analytic functions on the complex unit ball called the Drury-Arveson space, which we denote by H^2_d. The coordinate multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$, defined on $\mathcal{P}_d$ by

$$(M_{z_i} p)(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = z_i p(z_1, \ldots, z_d), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_d,\ 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

extend to bounded linear operators on H^2_d, and $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ forms a contractive d-tuple of operators called the d-shift. The space H^2_d can be naturally viewed as a module over $\mathcal{P}_d$, with the module action given by

$$pf = p(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}) f, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_d,\ f \in H^2_d.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A13, 47A20, 47A99, 14Q99, 12Y05.

Endowed with this module action, H^2_d is called the d-shift Hilbert module.

The d-shift and the d-shift Hilbert module H^2_d are of fundamental importance in multivariable operator theory, and they have received a great deal of attention in recent years (see for example [Arv98], [Arv00], [Arv02], [Arv05], [Arv07], [DRS11], [DRS12], [Dou06], [GW08], [Esc11], [Sha11]).

Let M be a submodule of H^2_d, so that M is an invariant subspace for each coordinate operator $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$. If we identify the quotient space H^2_d/M with the orthogonal complement $M^\perp$, then we obtain a d-tuple of quotient operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ by compressing the d-shift $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ to H^2_d/M. Since the operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ commute, the operators $T_1, \ldots, T_d$ also commute, and in fact, every commuting d-tuple of operators can be realized as a quotient of the d-shift in precisely this way, provided that one is willing to increase the multiplicity and consider vector-valued functions (see for example [Arv98]).

The quotient module H^2_d/M is said to be p-essentially normal if the self-commutators

$$T_i^* T_j - T_j T_i^*, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d$$

belong to the Schatten p-class $\mathcal{L}^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ denotes the ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K}$. We also obtain a d-tuple of operators $(S_1, \ldots, S_d)$ by restricting the elements in the d-shift $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ to M, and the module M is similarly said to be p-essentially normal if the self-commutators

$$S_i^* S_j - S_j S_i^*, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d$$

belong to $\mathcal{L}^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In fact, it turns out that these notions of essential normality are equivalent for $d < p \leq \infty$ – the submodule M is p-essentially normal if and only if the quotient module H^2_d/M is p-essentially normal (see for example [Arv05]).

The purpose of this paper is to consider the essential normality of submodules of the d-shift Hilbert module H^2_d, and more generally, the essential normality of submodules of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$, obtained by tensoring H^2_d with $\mathbb{C}^r$ for finite $r \geq 1$. Note that elements in $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ can be viewed as analytic vector-valued functions on the complex unit ball.

Arveson observed in [Arv02] that $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ is itself p-essentially normal for every $p > d$, and motivated by applications to multivariable Fredholm Theory, he asked whether every homogeneous submodule of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module, i.e. every submodule generated by homogeneous polynomials, is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.

There are many other reasons why this problem is of interest. For example, let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{P}_d$ generated by homogeneous polynomials, and let M denote the closure of I in H^2_d. Then M is a homogeneous submodule of H^2_d. Let $(T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ denote the d-tuple of quotient operators on H^2_d/M, and suppose that M is essentially normal. Arveson observed that in this case, the C*-algebra generated by $T_1, \ldots, T_d$ is commutative modulo the ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K}$, and the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^* \left(T_1, \ldots, T_d\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}\left(X\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, where X is the projective algebraic variety defined by the common zeros of the ideal I (see for example [Arv02] and [Arv05]). Thus it is natural to expect some relationship between the operator-theoretic structure of the d-tuple $(T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ and the geometric structure of X. For example, by results of Brown-Douglas-Fillmore in [BDF77], this exact sequence gives rise to an element of the K-homology of X.

In [Arv05], Arveson conjectured that his question from [Arv02] should have an affirmatie answer. That is, he conjectured that every homogeneous submodule of the finite rank d-shift Hilbert module is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. This conjecture is now widely known as Arveson's conjecture.

To date, Arveson's conjecture has been resolved only for certain classes of submodules. In [Arv05], Arveson proved that every submodule of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ generated by monomials, i.e. polynomials of the form $z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_d^{\alpha_d} \otimes \xi$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$ and ξ in $\mathbb{C}$, is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. More recently, in [GW08], Guo and Wang proved that every submodule of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ generated by a single homogeneous polynomial is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. Additionally, they proved that for $d \leq 3$, every homogeneous submodule of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. However, none of these results apply to homogeneous submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ when $d \geq 4$ and the submodule is generated by two or more non-monomials.

In this paper, we establish Arveson's conjecture for a large new class of homogeneous submodules of the finite-rank d-shift Hilbert module. This class includes many homogeneous submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$, with d arbitrarily large, that are generated by an arbitrary number of non-monomials. For example, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be sets of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. Suppose that there are disjoint subsets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$, each of size at most 2, such that*

$$F_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[Z_i\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be arbitrary sets of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^r$. Then the $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.

We obtain Theorem 1.1 as a special case of the following more broadly applicable result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be sets of polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ that each generate p-essentially normal submodules of H^2_d. Suppose that there are disjoint subsets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$, such that*

$$F_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[Z_i\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be sets of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^r$. Then the $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.

More generally, we obtain Theorem 1.2 as an application of a new method for establishing the essential normality of a submodule of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. First, we observe that if $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ are p-essentially normal submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ with the property that the algebraic sum $M_1 + \ldots + M_n$ is closed, then the $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ submodule generated by $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ is also p-essentially normal. Reversing this argument tells us that if we want to prove the p-essential normality of a $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ submodule M, then we should try to obtain a decomposition of M as $M = M_1 + \ldots + M_n$, where $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ are p-essentially normal submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. If such a decomposition exists, then we say that M is p-essentially decomposable.

Note that by Guo and Wang's result on the essential normality of submodules generated by a single homogeneous polynomial, every homogeneous submodule of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ can be written as a closed sum of essentially normal submodules. Therefore, the main difficulty in establishing essential decomposability is to determine when, if ever, the algebraic sum $M_1 + \ldots + M_n$ is closed. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use ideas of [BBL95] and [BGM10] from convex geometry, and introduce a notion of angle between a family of submodules, along with a corresponding notion of cosine. The cosine $c\left(M_1, \ldots, M_n\right)$ is a number between 0 and 1 that measures the "separation" of $M_1, \ldots, M_n$. If the angle between $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ is positive, i.e. if $c\left(M_1, \ldots, M_n\right) < 1$, then $M_1 + \ldots + M_n$ is closed. From this perspective, the problem of establishing the essential normality of a homogeneous submodule M becomes a problem of calculating the angle between submodules of M.

While this problem seems quite difficult in general, we prove that the angle is positive in many interesting cases using methods developed in the technical portion of this paper. In particular, our methods are sufficient to establish our main results on the essential decomposability, and hence the essential normality, of a large class of homogeneous submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. While many of the submodules in this class were not previously known to be essentially normal, our results also apply to submodules that have been considered by other authors. For example, our results imply the essential decomposability of submodules of $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ generated by monomials, and so we obtain a new proof of Arveson's main result in [Arv05].

In addition to this introduction, this paper has five other sections. In Section 2, we provide a brief review of the basic background material. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of essential decomposability. In Section 4, we discuss the notion of the angle between submodules and define the cosine function. We then relate these ideas to Shalit's stable division property from [Sha11]. In Section 5, we introduce a notion of perpendicularity for a family of submodules that can be seen as a strengthened decomposability-type property. In Section 6, we establish the our results on essential normality.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The d-shift Hilbert module.** For fixed $d \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{P}_d$ denote the algebra of complex polynomials in d variables $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_d]$. For monomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$, it is convenient to use the multi-index notation

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_d^{\alpha_d}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d.$$

The *Drury-Arveson space* H_d^2 is the completion of $\mathcal{P}_d$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, defined on monomials by

$$\left\langle z^\alpha, z^\beta \right\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

where we have written $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_d!$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_d$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$. By linearity, this inner product extends to arbitrary polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. For p and q in $\mathcal{P}_d$ of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} a_\alpha z^\alpha, \quad q(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} b_\beta z^\beta,$$

the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!} a_\alpha \overline{b_\alpha}.$$

Let $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ denote the coordinate multiplication operators on $\mathcal{P}_d$ corresponding to the variables $z_1, \ldots, z_d$ respectively,

$$M_{z_i} p = z_i p, \quad p \in H_d^2, \ 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Then these operators extend to bounded linear operators on H_d^2, and the d-tuple $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ is called the *d-shift*.

The elements in H_d^2 can be identified with analytic functions on the complex unit ball, and H_d^2 can be viewed as a Hilbert module over the algebra of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_d$, with the module action defined by

$$pf = p(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}) f, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_d, \ f \in H_d^2.$$

Endowed with this module action, H_d^2 is called the *d-shift Hilbert module.*

The importance of the d-shift Hilbert module in multivariable operator theory was recognized by Arveson in his comprehensive treatment [Arv98].

Let N denote the number operator, the unbounded self-adjoint operator defined on monomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ by

$$Nz^\alpha = |\alpha|\, z^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,$$

and extended to polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ by linearity. Then the operator $(N+1)^{-1}$ extends to a bounded operator on H_d^2, and the adjoints of the coordinate multiplication operators $M_{z_1}^*, \ldots, M_{z_d}^*$ act on H_d^2 by

$$M_{z_i}^* f = (N+1)^{-1} \frac{\partial(f)}{\partial z_i}, \quad f \in H_d^2,\ 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

where we have written $\partial(f)/\partial z_i$ for the partial derivative of f with respect to the variable z_i. Let $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_d$ denote the operators that act on $\mathcal{P}_d$ by partial differentiation with respect to the variables $z_1, \ldots, z_d$ respectively. Then restricted to $\mathcal{P}_d$, we can write

$$M_{z_i}^* = (N+1)^{-1} \partial_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

The fact that the adjoint operators take this form is one reason for the importance of the d-shift.

More generally, for a polynomial p in $\mathcal{P}_d$, let M_p denote the operator on H_d^2 corresponding to multiplication by p,

$$M_p f = pf, \quad f \in H_d^2.$$

2.2. The finite rank d-shift Hilbert module.

We will need to consider a higher multiplicity version of H_d^2. For fixed $r \geq 1$, the *d-shift Hilbert module of rank r*, $H_d^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$, is the Hilbert space tensor product of H_d^2 with the r-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^r$. Note that we could also realize $H_d^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ as the completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{P}_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. We will follow [Arv07] and write $r\mathcal{P}_d$ and rH_d^2 for $\mathcal{P}_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ and $H_d^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ respectively.

Since the meaning will always be clear from the context, it will be convenient to also let $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ denote the coordinate multiplication operators on rH_d^2,

$$M_{z_i}(f \otimes \xi) = z_i f \otimes \xi, \quad f \in H_d^2,\ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^r,\ 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

The d-tuple $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ is called the *d-shift of rank r*. Note that cordinate multiplication operators on rH_d^2 can also be realized as the tensor product of the coordinate multiplication operators on H_d^2 with the identity operator on $\mathbb{C}^r$.

The elements in rH_d^2 can be viewed as vector-valued analytic functions on the complex unit ball, and rH_d^2 can also be viewed as a Hilbert module over the algebra of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_d$. In this case, the module action is defined on tensors in rH_d^2 by

$$p(f \otimes \xi) = p(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})(f \otimes \xi), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_d,\ f \in H_d^2,\ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^r,$$

and extended to all of rH_d^2 by linearity.

2.3. **Essential normality.** Let N be a submodule of rH^2_d. Then N is invariant for the coordinate multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ on rH^2_d, so we can consider the corresponding restrictions $S_1, \ldots, S_d$ to N. The submodule N is said to be *p-essentially normal* if the self-commutators

$$S_i^* S_j - S_j S_i^*, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

belong to the Schatten p-class $\mathcal{L}^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $p = \infty$, then we will say that N is *essentially normal*.

If we identify the quotient space rH^2_d/N with the orthogonal complement $N^\perp$, then we can also consider the compressions $T_1, \ldots, T_d$ of $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ respectively to rH^2_d/N. The quotient module rH^2_d/N is similarly said to be *p-essentially normal* if the self-commutators

$$T_i^* T_j - T_j T_i^*, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

belong to the Schatten class $\mathcal{L}^p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The p-essential normality of the submodule N is equivalent to the p-essential normality of the quotient module rH^2_d/N by the following result of Arveson from Theorem 4.3 of [Arv05].

Theorem 2.1 (Arveson). *Let N be a submodule of rH^2_d, and let P_N denote the projection onto N. Then for every $p < d \leq \infty$, the following are equivalent:*

1. *N is p-essentially normal,*
2. *rH^2_d/N is p-essentially normal,*
3. *For $1 \leq i \leq d$, the commutators $M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i}$ belong to the Schatten p-class $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$.*

In our work, we will mostly use condition (3) of Theorem 2.1.

3. ESSENTIAL DECOMPOSABILITY

In this section, we will consider a notion of decomposability for a submodule that implies essential normality. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of rH^2_d. Then we will write $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ for the (not necessarily closed) vector sum

$$N_1 + \ldots + N_n = \{x_1 + \ldots + x_n \mid x_i \in N_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Definition 3.1. Let N be a submodule of rH^2_d. Then N is said to be *p-essentially decomposable* if there are p-essentially normal rH^2_d submodules $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ such that

$$N = N_1 + \ldots + N_n.$$

If $p = \infty$, then N is said to be *essentially decomposable.*

Remark. Note that if N is a p-essentially normal submodule of rH^2_d, then it is trivially p-essentially decomposable.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of rH_d^2 with corresponding projections $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$. Then the vector sum $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ is closed if and only if the range of the operator $P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$ is closed.*

Proof. The proof of this result is a simple modification of the proof of Corollary 3 of [FW71]. Let T denote the operator on $rH_d^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus rH_d^2$ defined by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} P_{N_1} & \cdots & P_{N_n} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it's clear that

$$\operatorname{ran}(T) = N_1 + \ldots + N_n.$$

Since

$$(TT^*)^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} (P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n})^{1/2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it's also clear that

$$\operatorname{ran}(TT^*)^{1/2} = \operatorname{ran}(P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n})^{1/2}.$$

But it is a simple consequence of Douglas' Lemma that

$$\operatorname{ran}(T) = \operatorname{ran}(TT^*)^{1/2},$$

and also that

$$\operatorname{ran}(P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}) = \operatorname{ran}(P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n})^{1/2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{ran}(P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}) = N_1 + \ldots + N_n,$$

and it follows that the vector sum $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ is closed if and only if the range of $P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$ is closed. □

Theorem 3.3. *Every p-essentially decomposable submodule of rH_d^2 is p-essentially normal.*

Proof. Let N be a p-essentially decomposable submodule of rH_d^2. Then there are p-essentially normal rH_d^2 submodules $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ such that $N = N_1 + \ldots + N_n$. Let P_N denote the projection onto N. We will show that P_N $2p$-essentially commutes with each of the coordinate multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ on rH_d^2, i.e. we will show that

$$M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}, \quad 1 \le i \le d,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$ denotes the set of Schatten $2p$-class operators on H_d^2. By Theorem 2.1, this will imply the desired result.

Let $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections onto $N_1, \dots, N_n$ respectively. Applying Theorem 2.1 again, the p-essential normality of $N_1, \dots, N_n$ implies that each of these projections $2p$-essentially commutes with $M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_d}$,

$$M_{z_i} P_{N_j} - P_{N_j} M_{z_i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,\ 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $X = P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$. Then Lemma 3.2 implies that X has closed range N, and (3.1) implies that

$$X M_{z_i} - M_{z_i} X \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d. \tag{3.2}$$

These two facts now allow us to proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 of [Arv07].

Since X has closed range, there is a complex contour Γ enclosing the nonzero portion of the spectrum of X such that the interior of Γ has positive distance from 0. Furthermore, since X is positive, and since the range of X is N, we can write the projection P_N as

$$P_N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma R_\lambda \, \mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

where, R_λ is the resolvent $R_\lambda = (\lambda - X)^{-1}$ for λ in Γ. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, a simple calculation gives

$$M_{z_i} R_\lambda - R_\lambda M_{z_i} = R_\lambda \left(X M_{z_i} - M_{z_i} X \right) R_\lambda,$$

so that we can write

$$M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma R_\lambda \left(X M_{z_i} - M_{z_i} X \right) R_\lambda \, \mathrm{d}\lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.2), the function

$$\lambda \to R_\lambda \left(X M_{z_i} - M_{z_i} X \right) R_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma$$

is a continuous function from Γ into the Banach space $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$. Hence by (3.3), the commutator $M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i}$ is the Riemann integral of a continuous $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$-valued function, and it follows that $M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, N is p-essentially normal. □

4. The angle between submodules

4.1. **A notion of angle.** In this section, we consider a notion of angle between a family of submodules. Let $N_1, \dots, N_n$ be submodules of rH^2_d. Motivated by the results in Section 3, our goal is to quantify how far the vector sum $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ is from being closed.

For the case of two submodules, we recall the classical definition of angle introduced by Friedrichs in [Fri37]. For $r \geq 1$, let N_1 and N_2 be submodules of rH^2_d. The cosine $c(N_1, N_2)$ is the cosine of the (Friedrichs) angle between

N_1 and N_2,

$$c(N_1,N_2) = \sup\left\{ \frac{|\langle x_1, x_2\rangle|}{\|x_1\|\,\|x_2\|} \;\middle|\; 0 \neq x_1 \in N_1 \cap (N_1\cap N_2)^{\perp}, \right. \\ \left. 0 \neq x_2 \in N_2^{\perp} \cap (N_1 \cap N_2)^{\perp} \right\}.$$

A generalization of the cosine function for an arbitrary number of subspaces was considered by Bauschke, Borwein and Lewis in [BBL95]. While their definition captures many of the desirable properties of the cosine function for two subspaces, it has the disadvantage of depending on the order that the subspaces are presented. In this paper, we will instead consider a refinement of their ideas due to Badea, Grivaux and Muller in [BGM10] that removes this dependency.

It is known (see for example [Deu95]) that $c(N_1,N_2) = c\left(N_1^{\perp}, N_2^{\perp}\right)$. Hence by a simple application of De Morgan's Law, we can write

$$c(N_1,N_2) = \sup\left\{ \frac{|\langle x_1, x_2\rangle|}{\|x_1\|\,\|x_2\|} \;\middle|\; 0 \neq x_1 \in N_1^{\perp} \cap (N_1 \vee N_2), \right. \\ \left. 0 \neq x_2 \in N_2^{\perp} \cap (N_1 \vee N_2) \right\}.$$

Badea, Grivaux and Muller observed that we can rewrite this as

$$c(N_1,N_2) \\ = \sup\left\{ \frac{\langle x_1, x_2\rangle + \langle x_2, x_1\rangle}{\|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2} \;\middle|\; 0 \neq (x_1,x_2) \in \times_{i=1}^{n} \left(N_i^{\perp} \cap (N_1 \vee N_2)\right) \right\},$$

and this observation led them to develop their generalization of the cosine function. Here we specialize their definition to the case of submodules of rH_d^2.

Definition 4.1. Let $N_1,\ldots,N_n$ be submodules of rH_d^2, and let N denote the rH_d^2 submodule $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$. The *cosine* $c(N_1,\ldots,N_n)$ is

$$c(N_1,\ldots,N_n) \\ = \sup\left\{ \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\sum_{i\neq j} \langle x_i, x_j\rangle}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i\|^2} \;\middle|\; 0 \neq (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \times_{i=1}^{n} \left(N_i^{\perp} \cap N\right) \right\}.$$

If $c(N_1,\ldots,N_n) < 1$, then we will say that the angle between the submodules $N_1,\ldots,N_n$ is *positive.*

Remark. This definition of the cosine given here differs slightly from the defnition in [BGM10]. The value $c(N_1,\ldots,N_n)$ is actually the cosine of $N_1^{\perp},\ldots,N_n^{\perp}$ in [BGM10]. This change is motivated by the next result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $N_1,\ldots,N_n$ be submodules of rH_d^2 with corresponding projections $P_{N_1},\ldots,P_{N_n}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $c(N_1,\ldots,N_n) < 1$,

(2) *the vector sum* $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ *is closed,*
(3) *the operator* $P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$ *has closed range.*

Proof. (2) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (3) The fact that $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ is closed if and only if $P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$ has closed range is Lemma 3.2.

(1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2) The fact that $c\,(N_1, \ldots, N_n) < 1$ if and only if the vector sum $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ is closed follows from Theorem 4.1 of [BGM10]. □

The following result from Proposition 3.7 of [BGM10] gives an alternative formula for the cosine function.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* rH^2_d *with corresponding projections* $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$*. Let* N *denote the* rH^2_d *submodule* $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$*, and let* $P_{N^\perp}$ *denote the projection onto* $N^\perp$*. Then*

$$c\,(N_1, \ldots, N_n) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \left(P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}\right) - P_N \right\| - \frac{1}{n-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

In general, it seems very difficult to calculate the exact value of the cosine function. However, we can do this in certain special cases, as the next example illustrates.

Example 4.4. Let N_1, N_2, N_3 denote the H^2_3 submodules generated by the polynomials z_1, z_2, z_3 respectively. Let N denote the H^2_3 submodule $N = N_1 \vee N_2 \vee N_3$, and let $P_{N_1}, P_{N_2}, P_{N_3}, P_N$ denote the projections onto N_1, N_2, N_3, N respectively. We will calculate the value of $c\,(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ using the formula (4.1) from Proposition 4.3.

First, it's clear that $(P_{N_1} + P_{N_2} + P_{N_3})\, z_1 = z_1$ and $P_N z_1 = z_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c\,(N_1, N_2, N_3) &\geq \frac{3}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{3} \left(P_{N_1} + P_{N_2} + P_{N_3}\right) z_1 - P_N z_1 \right\| - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left\| \frac{2}{3} z_1 \right\| - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that $c\,(N_1, N_2, N_3) \leq 1/2$.

We will see in Section 5 that the projections $P_{N_1}, P_{N_2}, P_{N_3}$ commute. Hence by properties of commuting projections, we can use the inclusion-exclusion principle to write

$$P_N = P_{N_1} + P_{N_2} + P_{N_3} - P_{N_1}P_{N_2} - P_{N_1}P_{N_3} - P_{N_2}P_{N_3} + P_{N_1}P_{N_2}P_{N_3} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$P_{N_2 \vee N_3} = P_{N_2} + P_{N_3} - P_{N_2}P_{N_3}. \tag{4.3}$$

Together (4.2) and (4.3) give

$$\begin{aligned}P_{N_1}+P_{N_2}+P_{N_3}-P_N &= P_{N_1}P_{N_2}+P_{N_1}P_{N_3}+P_{N_2}P_{N_3}-P_{N_1}P_{N_2}P_{N_3}\\ &= P_{N_1}\left(P_{N_2}+P_{N_3}-P_{N_2}P_{N_3}\right)+P_{N_2}P_{N_3}\\ &= P_{N_1}P_{N_2\vee N_3}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}\\ &= P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3},\end{aligned}$$

which means that we can write

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1}+P_{N_2}+P_{N_3}\right)-P_N=\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}\right)-\frac{2}{3}P_N. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)$and $N_2\cap N_3$ are submodules of N.

$$P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}=P_N\left(P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, (4.4) and (4.4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1}+P_{N_2}+P_{N_3}\right)-P_N\right\| &= \left\|\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}\right)-\frac{2}{3}P_N\right\|\\ &\leq \left\|\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1\cap(N_2\vee N_3)}+P_{N_2\cap N_3}\right)-\frac{2}{3}I\right\|\\ &\leq \frac{2}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Proposition 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}c(N_1,N_2,N_3) &= \frac{3}{2}\left\|\frac{1}{3}\left(P_{N_1}+P_{N_2}+P_{N_3}\right)-P_N\right\|-\frac{1}{2}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2},\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $c(N_1,N_2,N_3)=1/2$.

In this paper, we will mostly be concerned with determining when the angle between a family of submodules is postive. The following result from Proposition 4.6 of [BGM10] implies that this question can be reduced to a computation using the classical definition of cosine due to Friedrichs.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $N_1,\ldots,N_n$ be submodules of rH^2_d. If*

$$c(N_1\vee\ldots\vee N_{k-1},N_k)<1,\quad 1\leq k\leq n,$$

then $c(N_1,\ldots,N_n)<1$.

Using results from [BBL95], we can give another simple criterion implying that the angle between a family of submodules is positive.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $N_1,\ldots,N_n$ be submodules of rH^2_d and let $N=N_1\vee\ldots\vee N_n$. Let $P_{N_1^\perp},\ldots,P_{N_n^\perp},P_N$ denote the projections onto $N_1^\perp,\ldots,N_n^\perp,N$*

respectively. Then $c(N_1, \dots, N_n) < 1$ *if and only if*

$$\left\| P_{N_1^\perp} \cdots P_{N_n^\perp} P_N \right\| < 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. The quantity (4.6) is the definition of the cosine of $N_1^\perp, \dots, N_n^\perp$ from [BBL95]. Therefore, by Theorem 3.7.4 of [BBL95], (4.6) holds if and only if the subspace $N_1 + \dots + N_n$ is closed, and the result follows from Proposition 4.2. □

4.2. **The stable division property.** The stable division property was introduced by Shalit in [Sha11], in connection with Arveson's essential normality conjecture. The notion of stable division is also of independent interest, since it concerns the numerical stability of multivariable polynomial division. The following definition has been generalized slightly to apply to non-principal submodules.

Definition 4.7. Let $N_1, \dots, N_n$ be submodules of rH_d^2, and let N denote the rH_d^2 submodule $N = N_1 \vee \dots \vee N_n$. The family $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$ is said to have the *stable division property* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every polynomial p in N, there are polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_n$ in $N_1, \dots, N_n$ respectively such that

$$p_1 + \dots + p_n = p$$

and

$$\|p_1\|^2 + \dots + \|p_1\|^2 \leq C \|p\|^2 .$$

The constant C is said to be a *stable division constant* for $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$.

The next result was discovered at the suggestion of Orr Shalit. It establishes a connection between the ideas in this paper and Shalit's work on the stable division property in [Sha11].

Theorem 4.8. *Let* $N_1, \dots, N_n$ *be submodules of* rH_d^2*. Then the family* $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$ *has the stable division property if and only if* $c(N_1, \dots, N_n) < 1$*.*

Proof. First, suppose that $c(N_1, \dots, N_n) < 1$. Let N denote the rH_d^2 submodule $N = N_1 \vee \dots \vee N_n$. Then by Proposition 4.2, $N = N_1 + \dots + N_n$. Therefore, if we define the operator $T : N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_n \to N$ by

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_n,$$

then T has closed range N. In particular, the restriction S of T to the orthogonal complement of the kernel of T is invertible. Fix x in N, and let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = S^{-1}x$. Then $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x$, meaning that

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n = x,$$

and

$$\|x_1\|^2 + \dots + \|x_n\|^2 = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|^2 = \left\|S^{-1}x\right\|^2 \leq \left\|S^{-1}\right\|^2 \|x\|^2 .$$

Hence the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ has the stable division property with stable division constant $\left\|S^{-1}\right\|^2$.

Now suppose that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ has the stable division property with stable division constant $C > 0$, and let $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$ as before. Fix x in N and let $(p_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of polynomials such that

$$x = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k.$$

By the stable division property, for each $k \geq 1$ there are polynomials $p_{k,1}, \ldots, p_{k,n}$ such that $p_{k,l} \in N_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$, and such that

$$p_{k,1} + \ldots + p_{k,n} = p_k$$

and

$$\|p_{k,1}\|^2 + \ldots + \|p_{k,n}\|^2 \leq C \|p_k\|^2 .$$

For each $1 \leq l \leq n$, the sequence $(p_{k,l})_{k=1}^\infty$ is clearly bounded, and by passing to a subsequence we can assume that it is weakly convergent to some x_l in N_l. Then for all y in rH_d^2,

$$\langle x - (x_1 + \ldots + x_n), y \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle p_k - (p_{k,1} + \ldots + p_{k,n}), y \rangle = 0,$$

implying that $x = x_1 + \ldots + x_n$, and hence that x belongs to $N_1 + \ldots + N_n$. Since x was an arbitrary element in N, it follows that $N = N_1 + \ldots + N_n$. □

Applying Theorem 4.8 to an example from [Sha11] provides an example of a family of submodules that is not separated by a positive angle.

Example 4.9. Let N_1 and N_2 denote the H_3^2 submodules generated by the polynomials p_1 and p_2 respectively, where

$$p_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^2 + z_2 z_3$$
$$p_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_2^2.$$

In Example 2.6 of [Sha11] it was shown that the family $\{N_1, N_2\}$ does not have the stable division property. Hence by Theorem 4.8, $c(N_1, N_2) = 1$.

However, let K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4 denote the submodules of H_3^2 generated by the polynomials q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 respectively, where

$$q_1(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^4$$
$$q_2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^2 z_2$$
$$q_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1^2 + z_2 z_3$$
$$q_4(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_2^2.$$

Then it was also shown that

$$N_1 \vee N_2 = K_1 \vee K_2 \vee K_3 \vee K_4,$$

and the family $\{K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4\}$ has the stable division property. Hence by Theorem 4.8, $c(K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4) < 1$.

Shalit proved in Theorem 2.3 of [Sha11] that many families of homogeneous submodules of H_2^2 have the stable division property. However, consideration of Shalit's proof reveals that it actually implies the following stronger result. (For background material on Groebner bases, see, for example [CLS92].)

Theorem 4.10 (Shalit)**.** *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* H_d^2 *generated by homogeneous polynomials* $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ *respectively. Suppose that* $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ *is a Groebner basis, and suppose that there is a subset* Z *of* $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$*, of size at most* 2*, such that*

$$p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{C}[Z].$$

Then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *has the stable division property.*

Applying Theorem 4.8 to Theorem 4.10, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.11. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* H_d^2 *generated by homogeneous polynomials* $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ *respectively. Suppose that* $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ *is a Groebner basis, and suppose that there is a subset* Z *of* $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$*, of size at most* 2*, such that*

$$p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{C}[Z].$$

Then $c(N_1, \ldots, N_n) < 1$*.*

The following example demonstrates that Proposition 4.11 does not generalize to polynomials in three or more variables.

Example 4.12. Let N_1 and N_2 denote the H_3^2 submodules generated by the polynomials p_1 and p_2 respectively from Example 4.9. Then $\{p_1, p_2\}$ is a Groebner basis with respect to the lexicographical monomial ordering, but we saw in Example 4.9 that $c(N_1, N_2) = 1$.

In the recent paper [Esc11], Eschmeier introduced a property of a family of polynomials in connection with Arveson's essential normality conjecture that is closely related to the stable division property. In particular, Eschmeier's property is implied by the stable division property, and hence a connection to the ideas in this paper is implied by Theorem 4.8,

5. Perpendicular submodules

5.1. **Perpendicularity.** In this section we consider a property of a family of submodules of rH_d^2 that implies the family is separated by a positive angle.

Definition 5.1. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of rH_d^2. The family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is *perpendicular* if

$$N_i \cap (N_i \cap N_j)^\perp \perp N_j \cap (N_i \cap N_j)^\perp, \quad 1 \le i < j \le n. \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $N_1, \dots, N_n$ be submodules of rH^2_d with corresponding projections $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$. Then the family $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular if and only if the projections $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$ commute.*

Proof. We recall the simple fact that if P and Q are projections with range $\mathrm{ran}\,(P)$ and $\mathrm{ran}\,(Q)$ respectively, then P and Q commute if and only if

$$\mathrm{ran}\,(P) \cap (\mathrm{ran}\,(P) \cap \mathrm{ran}\,(Q))^{\perp} \perp \mathrm{ran}\,(Q) \cap (\mathrm{ran}\,(P) \cap \mathrm{ran}\,(Q))^{\perp}.$$

Therefore, the result follows immediately from Definition 5.1. □

Ken Davidson pointed out that the following result is well known to researchers that have worked with CSL (commutative subspace lattice) algebras.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$ be a perpendicular family of submodules of rH^2_d, and let $K_1, \dots, K_m$ be subspaces contained in the subspace lattice generated by $N_1, \dots, N_n$. Then $\{K_1, \dots, K_m\}$ is also a perpendicular family of submodules of rH^2_d.*

Proof. It's clear that $K_1, \dots, K_m$ are invariant for the coordinate multiplication operators on rH^2_d, and hence that they are submodules of rH^2_d. Let $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections onto $N_1, \dots, N_n$ respectively. Then by Proposition 5.2, these projections commute.

Let I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let L and M denote the rH^2_d submodules

$$L = \bigvee_{i \in I} N_i, \qquad M = \bigwedge_{i \in I} N_i,$$

and let P_L and P_M denote the projections onto L and M respectively. Then by properties of commuting projections, the projection P_L can be written using the inclusion-exclusion principle as

$$P_L = \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J| = i}} \prod_{j \in J} P_{N_j},$$

and the projection P_M can be written as

$$P_M = \prod_{i \in |I|} P_{N_i}.$$

In particular, this implies that the projections P_L and P_M are polynomials in the commuting projections $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$.

Let $P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_m}$ denote the projections onto $K_1, \dots, K_m$ respectively. Since these submodules can be constructed by iterating the above constructions of L and M, it's clear that the projections $P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_m}$ are also polynomials in the commuting projections $P_{N_1}, \dots, P_{N_n}$. Therefore, the projections $P_{K_1}, \dots, P_{K_m}$ also commute, and it follows from Proposition 5.2 that the family $\{K_1, \dots, K_m\}$ is perpendicular. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* rH_d^2 *such that* $c(N_1, \ldots, N_n) = 0$. *Then the family* $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *is perpendicular.*

Proof. Let N denote the rH_d^2 submodule $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$. Then since $c(N_1, \ldots, N_n) = 0$, it follows from Definition 4.1 that

$$N_i^\perp \cap N \perp N_j^\perp \cap N, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \tag{5.2}$$

and since

$$N_i \vee N_j \subseteq N, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

it follows from (5.2) that

$$N_i^\perp \cap (N_i \vee N_j) \perp N_j^\perp \cap (N_i \vee N_j), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{5.3}$$

Notice that by De Morgan's Law,

$$N_i \vee N_j = \left(N_i^\perp \cap N_j^\perp\right)^\perp, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Hence we can rewrite 5.3 as

$$N_i^\perp \cap \left(N_i^\perp \cap N_j^\perp\right)^\perp \perp N_j^\perp \cap \left(N_i^\perp \cap N_J^\perp\right)^\perp, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections onto $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ respectively, and let $P_{N_1^\perp}, \ldots, P_{N_n^\perp}$ denote the projections onto $N_1^\perp, \ldots, N_n^\perp$ respectively. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, (5.4) implies that the projections $P_{N_1^\perp}, \ldots, P_{N_n^\perp}$ commute, and hence that the projections $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ commute. Therefore, the result follows from Proposition 5.2. □

It's clear from Definition 4.1 and Definition 5.1 that if N_1 and N_2 are submodules of rH_d^2, then $c(N_1, N_2) = 0$ if and only if the family $\{N_1, N_2\}$ is perpendicular. It is tempting to speculate that this statement generalizes to larger families of submodules, however, as the following example illustrates, this is not the case.

Example 5.5. Let N_1, N_2, N_3 denote the H_3^2 submodules generated by the polynomials z_1, z_2, z_3 respectively from Example 4.4. We will see in Section 5.2 that the family $\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ is perpendicular, however by the calculations in Example 4.4, $c(N_1, N_2, N_3) = 1/2$.

However, the following result implies that every perpendicular family of submodules is separated by a positive angle.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *be a perpendicular family of submodules of* rH_d^2. *Then* $c(N_1, \ldots, N_n) < 1$.

Proof. Let $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections corresponding to $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ respectively. Then since $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular, Proposition 5.2 implies that these projections commute. It follows that the operator $P_{N_1} + \ldots + P_{N_n}$ is contained in the convex cone generated by a finite number of orthogonal projections, and hence that it has closed range. Therefore, the result follows by Proposition 4.2. □

5.2. **Criteria for perpendicularity.** In this section, we will consider criteria for a family of submodules to be perpendicular.

Lemma 5.7. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* H^2_d*, and for* $1 \leq i \leq n$*, let* $p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{im_i}$ *be polynomials that generate* N_i*. If the operators*

$$M_{p_{i1}} M^*_{p_{i1}} + \ldots + M_{p_{im_i}} M^*_{p_{im_i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

commute, then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *is perpendicular.*

Proof. Let $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections onto $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ respectively. Then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, P_{N_i} and P_{N_j} are the range projections of the operators $M_{p_{i1}} M^*_{p_{i1}} + \ldots + M_{p_{im_i}} M^*_{p_{im_i}}$ and $M_{p_{j1}} M^*_{p_{j1}} + \ldots + M_{p_{jm_j}} M^*_{p_{jm_j}}$ respectively. In particular, the projections $P_{N_i}$and P_{N_j} are contained in the von Neumann algebra generated by $M_{p_{i1}} M^*_{p_{i1}} + \ldots + M_{p_{im_i}} M^*_{p_{im_i}}$ and $M_{p_{j1}} M^*_{p_{j1}} + \ldots + M_{p_{jm_j}} M^*_{p_{jm_j}}$. Since these latter operators are self-adjoint, and since they commute, this von Neumann algebra is commutative. Therefore, the projections P_{N_i} and P_{N_j} also commute. Since i and j were arbitrary, Proposition 5.2 implies that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular. □

To apply Lemma 5.7, we will require an identity of Guo and Wang from [GW08]. Before presenting the identity, it will be convenient to introduce some special notation for operators that are related to the number operator N defined in Section 2.1. For a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, let $[f(N)]$ denote the (potentially unbounded) self-adjoint operator defined on monomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ by

$$[f(N)]\, z^\alpha = f(|\alpha|)\, z^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d_0,$$

and extended by linearity to polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. Then, for example, restricted to $\mathcal{P}_d$, we can write the adjoints of the coordinate multiplication operators $M^*_{z_1}, \ldots, M^*_{z_d}$ on H^2_d as

$$M^*_{z_i} = \left[\frac{1}{N+1}\right] \partial_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

where $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_d$ denote the operators that act on $\mathcal{P}_d$ by partial differentiation in the variable $z_1, \ldots, z_d$ respectively. If the operator $[f(N)]$ happens to extend to a bounded operator on H^2_d, then we will also write $[f(N)]$ for this extension. Recall that for a polynomial p in $\mathcal{P}_d$, we write M_p to denote the operator on H^2_d corresponding to multiplication by p. If p is homogeneous of degree n, then it is easy to check that, restricted to $\mathcal{P}_d$, we can write

$$[f(N)]\, M_p = M_p\, [f(N+n)].$$

These facts, combined with the general Leibniz rule

$$\partial^\alpha (pq) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d_0 \\ \beta \leq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\partial^{\alpha-\beta} p\right) \left(\partial^\beta q\right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d_0,\ p, q \in \mathcal{P}_d,$$

where $\partial^\alpha = \partial_{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\alpha_d}$, lead to the following identity of Guo and Wang from [GW08].

Proposition 5.8 (Guo-Wang Identity)**.** *Let p and q be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ of degree m and n respectively. Then*

$$M_p^* M_q = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left[\frac{N!\,(N+m-n)!}{(N+m)!\,(N-n-|\alpha|)!} \right] M_{\partial^\alpha q} M_{\partial^\alpha p}^*.$$

We will apply Proposition 5.8 to determine when the hypotheses of Proposition 5.7 hold.

Lemma 5.9. *Let p and q be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ of degree m and n respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &M_p M_p^* M_q M_q^* - M_q M_q^* M_p M_p^* \\ &\quad = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!} \right] \left(M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M_{\partial^\alpha p}^* M_q^* - M_q M_{\partial^\alpha p} M_{\partial^\alpha q}^* M_p^* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The Guo-Wang identity from Proposition 5.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_p M_p^* M_q M_q^* &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} M_p \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left[\tfrac{N!(N+m-n)!}{(N+m)!(N-n-|\alpha|)!} \right] M_{\partial^\alpha q} M_{\partial^\alpha p}^* M_q^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!} \right] M_{\partial^\alpha q} M_p M_q^* M_{\partial^\alpha p}^* \\ &= \left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n)!} \right] M_q M_p M_q^* M_p^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!} \right] M_{\partial^\alpha q} M_p M_q^* M_{\partial^\alpha p}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 5.8 again gives

$$\begin{aligned} &M_p M_q^* \\ &\quad = \left[\tfrac{(N+n)!(N-m)!}{N!(N+n-m)!} \right] M_q^* M_p \\ &\quad\quad - \left[\tfrac{(N+n)!(N-m)!}{N!(N+n-m)!} \right] \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left[\tfrac{N!(N+m-n)!}{(N+m)!(N-n-|\beta|)!} \right] M_{\partial^\beta p} M_{\partial^\beta q}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Note also that

$$M_q \left[\tfrac{(N+n)!(N-m)!}{N!(N+n-m)!} \right] = \left[\tfrac{N!(N-n-m)!}{(N-n)!(N-m)!} \right] M_q. \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (5.5) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n)!}\right] M_q M_p M_q^* M_p^* \\
&\qquad = M_q M_q^* M_p M_p^* \\
&\qquad\quad - \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^d\setminus\{0\}} M_q \frac{1}{\beta!}\left[\tfrac{N!(N+n-m)!}{(N+n)!(N-m+|\beta|)!}\right] M_{\partial^\beta p} M^*_{\partial^\beta q} M_p^* \\
&\qquad = M_q M_q^* M_p M_p^* \\
&\qquad\quad - \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\beta!}\left[\tfrac{(N-n)!(N-m)!}{N!(N-n-m+|\beta|)!}\right] M_q M_{\partial^\beta p} M^*_{\partial^\beta q} M_p^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_p M_p^* M_q M_q^* - M_q M_q^* M_p M_p^* \\
&\quad = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!}\right]\left(M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^* - M_q M_{\partial^\alpha p} M^*_{\partial^\alpha q} M_p^*\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.10. *Let p and q be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ of degree m and n respectively. Then $M_p M_p^*$ and $M_q M_q^*$ commute if and only if the operator*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left[\frac{(N-m)!\,(N-n)!}{N!\,(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!}\right] M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^*$$

is self-adjoint.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.9, using the observation that for α in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^* - M_q M_{\partial^\alpha p} M^*_{\partial^\alpha q} M_p^* \\
&\qquad\qquad = M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^* - \left(M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^*\right)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.11. *Let p and q be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ of degree m and n respectively. Then $M_p M_p^*$ and $M_q M_q^*$ commute if each operator*

$$M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M_q^*, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{0\}$$

is self-adjoint.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.10. □

Lemma 5.12. *Let p and q be linear polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. Then the operators $M_p M_P^*$ and $M_q M_q^*$ commute if and only if either $p = q$ or $p \perp q$.*

Proof. Write the polynomials p and q as

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(z_1,\ldots,z_d\right) &= a_1 z_1 + \ldots + a_d z_d \\ q\left(z_1,\ldots,z_d\right) &= b_1 z_1 + \ldots + b_d z_d. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\left[\tfrac{(N-m)!(N-n)!}{N!(N-m-n-|\alpha|)!}\right] M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M^*_q \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left[\tfrac{(N-1)!(N-1)!}{N!(N-3)!}\right] a_i\overline{b_i} M_p M^*_q \\ = \langle p,q\rangle \left[\tfrac{(N-1)!(N-1)!}{N!(N-3)!}\right] M_p M^*_q. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

It's clear that the operator (5.7) is self-adjoint if $p=q$. On the other hand, if $p\neq q$, then the operator $M_pM_q^*$ is not self-adjoint, and hence the expression in (5.7) is self-adjoint only if $\langle p,q\rangle = 0$. Therefore, the result follows by Lemma 5.10. □

Lemma 5.13. *Let z^λ and z^μ be monomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$ for λ and μ in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$. Then the operators $M_{z^\lambda}M^*_{z^\lambda}$ and $M_{z^\mu}M^*_{z^\mu}$ commute.*

Proof. For α in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$,

$$z^\lambda\left(\partial^\alpha z^\mu\right) = c_\mu z^{\lambda_1+\mu_1-\alpha_1}\cdots z^{\lambda_d+\mu_d-\alpha_d},$$

where

$$c_\mu = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\mu_i\left(\mu_i-1\right)\cdots\left(\mu_i-\alpha_i+1\right) & \text{if } \alpha_i\le\mu_i \text{ for } 1\le i\le d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\left(\partial^\alpha z^\lambda\right) z^\mu = c_\lambda z^{\lambda_1+\mu_1-\alpha_1}\cdots z^{\lambda_d+\mu_d-\alpha_d},$$

where

$$c_\lambda = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_i\left(\lambda_i-1\right)\cdots\left(\lambda_i-\alpha_i+1\right) & \text{if } \alpha_i\le\lambda_i \text{ for } 1\le i\le d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\nu = (\lambda_1+\mu_1-\alpha_1,\ldots,\lambda_d+\mu_d-\alpha_d)$. Then

$$M_{z^\lambda}M_{\partial^\alpha z^\mu}M^*_{\partial^\alpha z^\lambda}M^*_{z^\mu} = \begin{cases} c_\lambda c_\mu M_{z^\nu}M^*_{z^\nu} & \text{if } \nu\in\mathbb{N}_0^d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

In particular, this operator is self-adjoint. Therefore, by Lemma 5.11, the operators $M_{z^\lambda}M^*_{z^\lambda}$ and $M_{z^\mu}M^*_{z^\mu}$ commute. □

Lemma 5.14. *Let p and q be homogeneous in $\mathcal{P}_d$ in distinct variables. Then the operators $M_pM_p^*$ and $M_qM_q^*$ commute.*

Proof. Since p and q are polynomials in disjoint variables, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{0\}$, at least one of $\partial^\alpha p$ and $\partial^\alpha q$ must be zero, and hence at least one of $M_{\partial^\alpha p}$ and $M_{\partial^\alpha q}$ must be zero. Therefore, $M_p M_{\partial^\alpha q} M^*_{\partial^\alpha p} M^*_q = 0$, and hence by Lemma 5.11, it follows that $M_p M_p^*$ and $M_q M_q^*$ commute. □

5.3. **Perpendicular submodules.**

In this section, we will establish the perpendicularity of many families of submodules of H^2_d using the criteria from Section 5.2.

Proposition 5.15. *Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of H^2_d that are generated by mutually orthogonal sets of linear polynomials $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ respectively. Then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.7. □

Proposition 5.16. *Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of H^2_d each generated by monomials. Then* the family *$\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.13. □

Proposition 5.17. *Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ be submodules of H^2_d generated by sets of homogeneous polynomials $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ respectively. Suppose that there are disjoint subsets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ such that*

$$F_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[Z_i\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.14. □

We can substantially strengthen Proposition 5.17 using results of Carlini and Reznick. The following result is Lemma 3.1 in [Rez93].

Proposition 5.18 (Rez93)**.** *Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. If the sets*

$$\left\{\partial_{z^\alpha} p_i \mid |\alpha| = \deg\left(p_i\right) - 1,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are mutually orthogonal, then there is a unitary change of variables such that the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are polynomials in disjoint variables.

The following result is Proposition 1 in [Car06].

Proposition 5.19 (Car06)**.** *Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_d$. If the sets*

$$\left\{\nabla p_i\left(z\right) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^d\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are mutually orthogonal, where ∇p denotes the gradient of p, then there is a unitary change of variables such that the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are polynomials in disjoint variables.

We immediately obtain the following two results.

Proposition 5.20. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* H^2_d *generated by sets of homogeneous polynomials* $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ *respectively. If the sets*

$$\left\{ \partial^\alpha (p) \mid |\alpha| = \deg (p) - 1, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \ p \in F_i \right\}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

are mutually orthogonal, then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *is perpendicular.*

Proposition 5.21. *Let* $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ *be submodules of* H^2_d *generated by sets of homogeneous polynomials* $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ *respectively. If the sets*

$$\left\{ (\nabla p)(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^d, \ p \in F_i \right\}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

are mutually orthogonal, then the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *is perpendicular.*

5.4. **Perpendicularity and tensor products.** The results obtained in Section 5.2 and Section 5.3 only apply to submodules of H^2_d. Because we also need to consider higher-rank submodules of rH^2_d, in this section we consider the stability of the angle between a family of perpendicular submodules of H^2_d with respect to taking tensor products.

Theorem 5.22. *Let* $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ *be a perpendicular family of submodules of* H^2_d*, and let* $V_1, \ldots V_n$ *be arbitrary subspaces of* $\mathbb{C}^r$*. Let* $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ *denote the* rH^2_d *submodules*

$$M_i = N_i \otimes V_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Then $c(M_1, \ldots, M_n) < 1$.

Proof. Let $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ denote the projections onto $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ respectively, let $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ denote the projections onto $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ respectively, and let $P_{M_1}, \ldots P_{M_n}$ denote the projections onto $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ respectively. Then it's clear that

$$P_{M_i} = P_{N_i} \otimes E_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

We will prove that the operator

$$P_{M_1} + \ldots + P_{M_n}$$

has closed range. By Proposition 4.2, this will imply the desired result.

We proceed by induction on n, the size of the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$. For $n = 1$, the result is trivially true. Therefore, suppose that $n \ge 2$ with the induction hypothesis that the result is true for a perpendicular family of submodules of H^2_d if the size of the family is at most $n - 1$.

Let $Q_0, \ldots, Q_n$ denote the projections onto rH^2_d defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_n} \otimes I \\ Q_1 &= P_{N_1}^\perp \otimes I \\ Q_2 &= P_{N_1} P_{N_2}^\perp \otimes I \\ &\vdots \\ Q_n &= P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_{n-1}} P_{N_n}^\perp \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

Since the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular, Proposition 5.2 implies that the projections $P_{N_1}, \ldots, P_{N_n}$ commute, and hence that the projections $Q_0, \ldots, Q_n$ also commute. It's also clear that

$$Q_i P_{M_j} = P_{M_j} Q_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \tag{5.8}$$

Furthermore, by construction the projections $Q_0, \ldots, Q_n$ are orthogonal,

$$Q_i Q_j = 0, \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq n, \tag{5.9}$$

and we can decompose the identity operator on rH^2_d as

$$I = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 + \ldots + Q_n. \tag{5.10}$$

Therefore, by (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_{M_1} + \ldots + P_{M_n} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} Q_i\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{M_j}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} Q_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n} Q_i P_{M_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Now, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 P_{M_j} &= (P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_n} \otimes I)\left(P_{N_j} \otimes E_j\right) \\ &= P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_n} \otimes E_j \\ &= Q_0 \left(I \otimes E_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$Q_0 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{M_j}\right) = Q_0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(I \otimes E_j\right). \tag{5.12}$$

By a similar calculation, for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i P_{M_i} &= \left(P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_{i-1}} P^{\perp}_{N_i} \otimes I\right)\left(P_{N_i} \otimes E_i\right) \\ &= P_{N_1} \cdots P_{N_{i-1}} P^{\perp}_{N_i} P_{N_i} \otimes E_i \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$Q_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{M_j} = Q_i \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} P_{M_j}. \tag{5.13}$$

Let X_0 denote the operator on rH^2_d defined by

$$X_0 = I \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_j,$$

and let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ denote the operators on $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ defined by

$$X_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} P_{M_j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then by the induction hypothesis and Proposition 4.2, the operators $X_0, \ldots, X_n$ each have closed range, and by (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13), we can write

$$P_{M_1} + \ldots + P_{M_n} = Q_0 X_0 + \ldots + Q_n X_n.$$

Since the operators $X_0, \ldots, X_n$ commute with the projections $Q_0, \ldots, Q_n$, it follows that the operators $Q_0 X_0, \ldots, Q_n X_n$ each have closed range. Therefore, since the projections $Q_0, \ldots, Q_n$ are orthogonal, this means that we have written $P_{M_1} + \ldots + P_{M_n}$ as the direct sum of $n + 1$ operators that each have closed range. It follows that the range of this operator is also closed. □

6. Essential normality

6.1. **Essential normality and perpendicularity.**

Lemma 6.1. *Let N be a p-essentially normal submodule of H^2_d, and let V be an arbitrary subspace of $\mathbb{C}^r$. Then the rH^2_d submodule $N \otimes V$ is also p-essentially normal.*

Proof. Let P_N and E denote the projections onto N and V respectively. Let $M = N \otimes V$, and let P_M denote the projection onto M. Then it's clear that

$$P_M = P_N \otimes E.$$

By Theorem 2.1, the p-essential normality of N implies that the projection P_N $2p$-essentially commutes with the coordinate multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d}$ on H^2_d, i.e.

$$M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2p}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{2p}$ denotes the set of Schatten $2p$-class operators on H^2_d. Recall from Section 2.2 that we can write the coordinate multiplication operators on rH^2_d as $M_{z_1} \otimes I, \ldots, M_{z_d} \otimes I$. Hence for $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned}(M_{z_i} \otimes I)\, P_M - P_M\, (M_{z_i} \otimes I) &= (M_{z_i} \otimes I)\,(P_N \otimes E) - (P_N \otimes E)\,(M_{z_i} \otimes I) \\ &= (M_{z_i} P_N - P_N M_{z_i}) \otimes E \in \mathcal{L}^{2p},\end{aligned}$$

since E is a finite rank projection. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, M is $2p$-essentially normal. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ be a perpendicular family of p-essentially decomposable submodules of H^2_d, and let $V_1, \ldots V_n$ be arbitrary subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^r$. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ denote the rH^2_d submodules*

$$M_i = N_i \otimes V_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

Then the rH^2_d *submodule* $M_1 \vee \ldots \vee M_n$ *is also* p*-essentially normal.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, the submodules $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ are p-essentially normal. Let M denote the rH^2_d submodule $M = M_1 \vee \ldots \vee M_n$. By Theorem 5.22, $c(M_1, \ldots, M_n) < 1$, and hence by Proposition 4.2, $M = M_1 + \ldots + M_n$. Therefore, M is p-essentially decomposable, and hence by Theorem 3.3, M is p-essentially normal. ☐

6.2. **Essential normality.** In this section, we establish our main results on the essential normality of homogeneous submodules of rH^2_d.

We will require Guo and Wang's result, Theorem 2.2 from [GW08], about the essential normality of singly generated homogeneous submodules.

Theorem 6.3 (Guo-Wang)**.** *Every submodule of* rH^2_d *generated by a single homogeneous polynomial is* p*-essentially normal for every* $p > d$*.*

The next result is well known. It was proved, for example, by Shalit in [Sha11], using his results on stable division. The methods introduced here provide a new proof.

Theorem 6.4. *Every submodule of* H^2_d *generated by linear polynomials is* p*-essentially normal for every* $p > d$*.*

Proof. Let N be a submodule of H^2_d generated by linear polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ in $\mathcal{P}_d$. By applying the Gram-Schmidt process if necessary, we can assume that the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ is orthogonal in H^2_d. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ denote the H^2_d submodules generated by $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ respectively. Then Theorem 6.3 implies that these submodules are each p-essentially normal for every $p > d$, and Proposition 5.15 implies that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular. Note that $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$. Hence by Theorem 6.2, N is also p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. ☐

The next result is new. It establishes the essential normality of submodules of rH^2_d that are generated by certain linear polynomials. We note Arveson's result from [Arv07] that the problem of the essential normality of homogeneous submodules of rH^2_d is equivalent to the problem of the essential normality of submodules of rH^2_d generated by arbitrary linear polynomials.

Theorem 6.5. *Let* $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ *be mutually orthogonal sets of linear polynomials, and let* $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ *be arbitrary sets of vectors in* $\mathbb{C}^r$*. Then the* rH^2_d *submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials*

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\} \tag{6.1}$$

is p*-essentially normal for every* $p > d$*.*

Proof. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ denote the H^2_d submodules generated by $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ respectively, and let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^r$ subspaces spanned by $X_1, \ldots, X_n$

respectively. Let M denote the rH_d^2 submodule generated by the set (6.1), and let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ denote the rH_d^2 submodules

$$M_i = N_i \otimes V_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then Theorem 6.4 implies that each of the submodules $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$, and Proposition 5.15 implies that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular. Note that $M = M_1 \vee \ldots \vee M_n$. Hence by Theorem 6.2, M is also p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. □

The next theorem is Arveson's main result from [Arv05]. Shalit also gave a proof of this result in [Sha11] using his results on stable division. The methods introduced here provide a new and simple proof. Recall that a monomial of rH_d^2 is an element in $r\mathcal{P}_d$ of the form $z^\alpha \otimes \xi$ for some α in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$ and ξ in $\mathbb{C}^r$.

Theorem 6.6 (Arveson)**.** *Every submodule of rH_d^2 generated by monomials is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.*

Proof. Let N be a submodule of rH_d^2 generated by monomials, say $z^{\alpha_1} \otimes \xi_1, \ldots, z^{\alpha_n} \otimes \xi_n$ in $r\mathcal{P}_d$ for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ in $\mathbb{N}_0^d$. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ denote the rH_d^2 submodules generated by $z^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, z^{\alpha_n}$ respectively, and let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ denote the one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^r$ spanned by $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ respectively. Then Theorem 6.3 implies that the submodules $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ are p-essentially normal for every $p > d$, and Proposition 5.16 implies that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular. Note that $N = N_1 \vee \ldots \vee N_n$. Hence by Theorem 6.2, N is also p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. □

Recall Shalit's result from [Sha11] that a submodule generated by polynomials in two variables has the stable division property. Shalit used this result to prove the next theorem that these submodules are essentially normal. However, starting from Proposition 4.11, we can also view Shalit's proof of the stable division property for these submodules as a method for establishing essential decomposability. In this case, the methods introduced here provide a new proof.

Theorem 6.7 (Shalit)**.** *Let F be a set of homogeneous polynomials. Suppose that there is a subset Z of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$, of size at most 2, such that $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}[Z]$. Then the H_d^2 submodule generated by F is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.*

Proof. Let N denote the H_d^2 submodule generated by F, and let $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be a Groebner basis consisting of homogeneous polynomials that generates N. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ denote the H_d^2 submodules generated by $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ respectively. Then by Theorem 6.3, $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ are p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. Note that the polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ belong to $\mathbb{C}[Z]$. Hence by Proposition 4.11, $c(N_1, \ldots, N_n) < 1$, and Proposition 4.2 implies that

$N = N_1 + \ldots + N_n$. Hence N is p-essentially decomposable for every $p > d$, and it follows from Theorem 3.3 that M is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$. □

The next result is new. It implies the essential normality of a large new class of submodules of rH^2_d.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be sets of homogeneous polynomials that each generate p-essentially normal submodules of H^2_d. Suppose that there are disjoint subsets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ of $\{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ such that*

$$F_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[Z_i\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be arbitrary sets of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^r$. Then the rH^2_d submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\} \tag{6.2}$$

is p-essentially normal.

Proof. Let $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ denote the H^2_d submodules generated by $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ respectively, and let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^r$ subspaces spanned by $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ respectively. Let M denote the rH^2_d submodule generated by the set (6.2), and let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ denote the rH^2_d submodules

$$M_i = N_i \otimes V_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The submodules $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ are p-essentially normal by assumption, and Proposition 5.17 implies that the family $\{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$ is perpendicular. Note that $M = M_1 \vee \ldots \vee M_n$. Hence by Theorem 6.2, M is also p-essentially normal. □

Replacing the use of Proposition 5.17 in the proof of Theorem 6.8 with Proposition 5.20 and Proposition 5.21 respectively, we immediately obtain the following strengthened results.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be sets of homogeneous polynomials that each generate p-essentially normal submodules of H^2_d. Suppose that the sets*

$$\left\{\partial^{\alpha}(p) \mid |\alpha| = \deg(p) - 1,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d,\ p \in F_i\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are mutually orthogonal. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be arbitrary sets of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^r$. Then the rH^2_d submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is p-essentially normal.

Theorem 6.10. *Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be sets of homogeneous polynomials that each generate p-essentially normal submodules of H^2_d. Suppose that the sets*

$$\left\{(\nabla p)(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^d,\ p \in F_i\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are mutually orthogonal. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ *be arbitrary sets of vectors in* $\mathbb{C}^r$*. Then the* rH^2_d *submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials*

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \le i \le n\}$$

is p*-essentially normal.*

The next theorem follows from a combination of the results above.

Theorem 6.11. *Let* $F_1, \dots, F_n$ *be sets of homogeneous polynomials in* $\mathcal{P}_d$*. Suppose that there are disjoint subsets* $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ *of* $\{z_1, \dots, z_d\}$*, each of size at most* 2*, such that*

$$F_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}[Z_i], \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ *be arbitrary sets of vectors in* $\mathbb{C}^r$*. Then the* $H^2_d \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ *submodule generated by the set of vector-valued polynomials.*

$$\{p \otimes \xi \mid p \in F_i,\ \xi \in X_i,\ 1 \le i \le n\}$$

is p*-essentially normal for every* $p > d$*.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.7 and Theorem 6.8. $\square$

Example 6.12. For every even $d \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$, let N denote the H^2_d submodule generated by the set of polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_{d/2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(z_1, \dots, z_d) &= z_1^n + z_1^{n-1} z_2 + \ldots + z_1 z_2^{n-1} + z_2^n \\ &\vdots \\ p_{d/2}(z_1, \dots, z_d) &= z_{d-1}^n + z_{d-1}^{n-1} z_d + \ldots + z_{d-1} z_d^{n-1} + z_d^n \end{aligned}$$

$$.$$

Then Theorem 6.11 implies that N is p-essentially normal for every $p > d$.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Ken Davidson and Orr Shalit for many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[Arv98] W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C*-algebras III: multivariable operator theory*, Acta Mathematica 181 (1998), 159–228.

[Arv00] W. Arveson, *The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over* $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 522 (2000), 173–236.

[Arv02] W. Arveson, *The Dirac operator of a commuting d-tuple*, Journal of Functional Analysis 189 (2002), No. 20, 53–79.

[Arv05] W. Arveson, *p-Summable commutators in dimension d*, Journal of Operator Theory 54 (2005), No. 1, 101–117.

[Arv07] W. Arveson, *Quotients of standard Hilbert modules*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 359 (2007), No. 12, 6027–6055.

[BBL95] H. Bauschke, J. Borwein, A. Lewis, *The method of cyclic projections for closed convex sets in Hilbert space*, Recent developments in optimization theory and nonlinear analysis, Contemporary Mathematics 204 (1995), 1–38.

[BGM10] C. Badea, S. Grivaux, V. Muller, *A generalization of the Friedrichs angle and the method of alternating projections*, to appear in St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal (2012).

[Car06] E. Carlini, *Reducing the number of variables of a polynomial*, Algebraic Geometry and Geometric Modelling, Springer (2006), 237–247.

[CKW10] X. Chen, N. Kayal, A. Wigderson, *Partial derivatives in arithmetic complexity (and beyond)*, Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science 6 (2010), Nos. 1–2, 1–138.

[CLS92] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms, Springer-Verlag (1992), New York.

[DRS11] K. Davidson, C. Ramsey, O. Shalit, *The isomorphism problem for some universal operator algebras*, Advances in Mathematics 228 (2011), No. 5, 167–218.

[DRS12] K. Davidson, C. Ramsey, O. Shalit, *Operator algebras for analytic varieties*, Preprint (2012), arXiv:1201.4072.

[Deu95] F. Deutsch, *The angle between subspaces of a Hilbert space*, Approximation Theory, Wavelets and Applications, Kluwer Academic (1995), 107–130.

[Dou06] R. Douglas, *Essentially reductive Hilbert modules*, Journal of Operator Theory 55 (2006), No. 1, 117–133.

[Esc11] J. Eschmeier, *Essential normality of homogeneous submodules*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 69 (2011), No. 2, 171–182.

[Fri37] K. Friedrichs, On certain inequalities and characteristic value problems for analytic functions and for functions of two variables, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 41 (1937), No. 3, 321–364.

[FW71] P. Fillmore, J.P. Williams, *On Operator Ranges*, Advances in Mathematics 7 (1971), No. 3, 254–281.

[GW08] K. Guo, K. Wang, *Essentially normal Hilbert modules and K-homology*, Mathematische Annalen 340 (2008), No. 4, 907–934.

[Rez93] B. Reznick, *An inequality for products of polynomials*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 117 (1993), No. 4, 1063–1073.

[Sha11] O. Shalit, *Stable polynomial division and essential normality of graded Hilbert modules*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 83 (2011), No. 2, 273–289.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada
E-mail address: `mkennedy@math.carleton.ca`